

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/749,182		KAWASHIMA, HIROFUMI		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Barbara Summons		2817		

All Participants:

(1) Barbara Summons.

(2) Mr. Bruce L. Adams (Reg. No. 25,386).

Date of Interview: 19 December 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:45pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
claim 36

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BARBARA SUMMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that claim 36 contained a typographical error so that it depended from a non-existent claim 39. It was determined that claim 36 should depend from claim 29 and agreed that the Examiner would make the change in the accompanying examiner's amendment.